

# YOUR VIEW

---

## Looking to the future

AT a recent meeting with the two administrators, Lucy Turnbull and Mr Payne, the Kingscliff community, in their overcrowded hall, were asked whether they approved of the proposed district centre at Chinderah near the main Shire interchange.

There was an almost unanimous shout of rejection, and when Mrs Turnbull asked those in favour, apart from a couple of others, the Segals - representing Gales - were the only hands raised.

It is completely beyond my comprehension how they could object to a proposal which would have only beneficial affects on the community.

It would reduce unwanted commercial and business traffic and parking, retain their village atmosphere, reduce unnecessary time, expense, and unnecessary travel on a dangerous section of highway into the difficult congestion and parking problems at Tweed Heads.

In addition it would create substantial new employment.

The proposal had for many years been unanimously recommended by eight senior consultants, two commissioned and paid for by Council.

What is worse, in the absence of any support for the proposal from elsewhere in the shire, it appears that the outcome will be detrimental not only to them, but to most other residents of the shire, particularly those in the

coast. Could somebody, anybody, please respond. My e-mail address is: hsegal@bigpond.net.au.

In what way could it possibly harm any shire residents, apart from the businesses which would be benefit by the absence of competition.

Twice they rejected our proposals to join us in the planning of our land.

I have always considered myself a rational person capable of understanding most things, but this behaviour leaves me completely without understanding.

I would be very grateful if any normal thinking person can give me any possible explanation, except that they have been mob brainwashed in some way, and if nobody can give me an explanation, I can only say that I am completely flabbergasted.

It seems that the Kingscliff community have been in complete agreement with Council in rejecting every one of Gales numerous proposals over many years, with the exception of when they agreed unanimously with our 1980 proposals which included a local supermarket even then, but that was rejected by Council, and when they supported success by Gales in preventing the adjacent contaminating sewer projects.

Incidentally, it appears that the two Woolworth proposals so vigorously condemned by Kingscliff residents, may be replaced by one Woolworths when it takes over Action supermarket. They will have succeeded in getting a single

Woolworths without any competition to restrain their prices, and getting a much worse outcome, by preventing Gales proposals.

I would also like to know their feelings about that.

**HARRY SEGAL,**  
Bondi Junction

DN 8.9.05